

50

ORNL 1567
Reactors-Research and Power *ha*

MARTIN MARIETTA ENERGY SYSTEMS LIBRARIES



3 4456 0349493 9

98
AUG


~~LABORATORY RECORDS~~
1954

HEAVY ISOTOPE BUILD-UP IN CORE

OF U²³³ BREEDER

LEV
57

J. Halperin and R. W. Stoughton



**CENTRAL RESEARCH LIBRARY
DOCUMENT COLLECTION**

LIBRARY LOAN COPY

DO NOT TRANSFER TO ANOTHER PERSON

If you wish someone else to see this document,
send in name with document and the library will
arrange a loan.

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
OPERATED BY
CARBIDE AND CARBON CHEMICALS COMPANY
A DIVISION OF UNION CARBIDE AND CARBON CORPORATION



POST OFFICE BOX P
OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE



ORNL 1567

This document consists of 26 pages
Copy 4 of 176 copies Series A

Contract No W-7405-eng-26

CHEMISTRY DIVISION

HEAVY ISOTOPE BUILD-UP IN CORE OF U²³³ BREEDER

J Halperin and R W Stoughton

DATE ISSUED

OCT 6 1953

DECLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO

BY AUTHORITY OF *Acc. - list # 5*

BY *J. Peters* *4/21/57*

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

Operated by

CARBIDE AND CARBON CHEMICALS COMPANY

A Division of Union Carbide and Carbon Corporation

Post Office Box P

Oak Ridge, Tennessee



3 4456 0349493 9

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

1	E Center	33	M T Kelly
2	Biology Library	34	G H Chislett
3	Health Physics Library	35	K Z Morgan
4-5	Central Research Library	36	T Lincoln
6	Reactor Experimental Engineering Library	37	A Householder
		38	S Harrill
7-11	Laboratory Records Dept	39	E Winters
12	Laboratory Records, ORNL R C	40	D W Cardwell
13	C E Larson		E M King
14	W B Hummer (K-25)	42	D D Cowen
15	L B Emlet (Y-12)	43	D S Billington
16	A M Weinberg	44	R A Charpie
17	E H Taylor	45	J A Lane
18	E D Shipley	46	M C Edlund
19-23	S C Lind	47	R B Briggs
24	F C VonderLage	48	K A Kraus
25	C P Keim	49	W C Waggener
26	J H Frye, Jr	50	C H Secoy
27	R S Livingston	51	D E Ferguson
28	R C Briant	52	F R Bruce
29	J A Swartout	53	H E Goeller
30	F L Culler	54	J Halperin
31	A H Snell	55-56	R W Stoughton
32	A Hollaender		

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

57 AF Plant Representative, Burbank
 58 AF Plant Representative, Seattle
 59 AF Plant Representative, Wood-Ridge
 60 ANP Project Office, Forth Worth
 61-72 Argonne National Laboratory (1 copy each to W M Manning and L Katzin)
 73 Armed Forces Special Weapons Project (India)
 74-78 Atomic Energy Commission, Washington
 79 Battelle Memorial Institute
 80 Bechtel Corporation
 81-84 Brookhaven National Laboratory (1 copy to T Miles)
 85 Bureau of Ships
 86-87 California Research and Development Company
 88-93 Carbide and Carbon Chemicals Company (Y-12 Plant)
 94 Chicago Patent Group
 95 Chief of Naval Research
 96 Commonwealth Edison Company
 97 Department of the Navy - Op-362
 98 Detroit Edison Company

- 99-102 duPont Company, Augusta
 103 duPont Company, Wilmington
 104 Foster Wheeler Corporation
 105-107 General Electric Company (ANPP)
 108-111 General Electric Company, Richland
 112 Hanford Operations Office
 113-119 Idaho Operations Office
 120 Iowa State College
 121-125 Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory (1 copy to E L Zebroski)
 126-127 Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory
 128 Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Kaufmann)
 129 Monsanto Chemical Company
 130 Mound Laboratory
 131 National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Cleveland
 132 National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Washington
 133 Naval Research Laboratory
 134-135 New York Operations Office
 136-137 North American Aviation, Inc
 138 Nuclear Development Associates, Inc
 139 Patent Branch, Washington
 140 Pioneer Service & Engineering Company
 141 Powerplant Laboratory (WADC)
 142 Pratt and Whitney Aircraft Division (Fox Project)
 143 Rand Corporation
 144 San Francisco Operations Office
 145 Savannah River Operations Office, Augusta
 146 USAF Headquarters
 147 U S Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory
 148-151 University of California Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley
 (1 copy ea to G T Seaborg and I Perlman)
 152-153 University of California Radiation Laboratory Livermore
 154 Vitro Corporation of America
 155 Walter Kidde Nuclear Laboratories, Inc
 156-161 Westinghouse Electric Corporation
 162-176 Technical Information Service, Oak Ridge


Heavy Isotope Build-Up In Core of U²³³ Breeder

J. Halperin and R. W. Stoughton

Abstract

The build-up of uranium isotopes with time in a U²³³ breeder core was calculated for five different cases, the difference depending on the starting fuel and the isotopic composition of the continuously added make-up fuel. The total uranium concentration was found to approach slowly an equilibrium value of 2.6 to 3.5 times the starting value, depending on the composition of the make-up fuel. In all cases the net neutron losses per net neutron reproduced in the core go through a maximum of less than 1% at a flux-time of about 3×10^{21} , go through a minimum of about -0.6% (i.e. a net gain) at about a flux-time of 4×10^{22} , and approach equilibrium values of about 0.3% at flux-times above 6×10^{23} .

The principle heavy isotopes in the core of a U^{233} breeder reactor and their modes of formation may be depicted by the following diagram.



The production of these species as well as of several other heavy nuclides in the core of a breeder starting with pure U^{233} has been discussed by Visner⁽¹⁾

(1) S Visner, ORNL-C&E No. 51-10-110 (Oct. 1951).

and by Halperin and Stoughton^(1a). Both the growth of the various isotopes and

(1a) J. Halperin and R. W. Stoughton, ORNL-1368 (Sept. 1952).

the net effect on neutron economy were presented. In this paper five cases will be considered with more recent values for the various cross-sections

- I. Pure U^{233} in core at start, pure U^{233} added to core.
- II. Pure U^{233} in core at start, U^{233} containing 5% U^{234} added to core as the fuel is consumed.
- III. U^{233} containing 5% U^{234} in core at start and added to core.
- IV. Pure U^{235} in core at start, pure U^{233} added to core.
- V. Pure U^{235} in core at start, U^{233} containing 5% U^{234} added to core.

In any practical case the core will probably start with U^{235} *. As this material is consumed U^{235} will be added at first, and then very soon the added material should consist of the U^{233} product produced in the blanket. Some U^{234} will be produced in the blanket by neutron capture of the members of the 233

* Actually this starting material will contain about 1.0% U^{234} , 93% U^{235} and 6% U^{238} . The U^{234} and U^{238} will increase the losses over those calculated in this paper for Cases IV and V at the shorter times, the magnitude of this additional loss will be about 0.17% at zero time and it will steadily decrease with increasing time.

chain (Th^{233} , Pa^{233} and U^{233}) and it is felt that an upper limit on the $\text{U}^{234}/\text{U}^{233}$ ratio for the blanket product will be about 0.05 if the overall losses are to be kept within reason. Hence any practical case is expected to lie somewhere in between Cases IV and V. Cases I, II and III are included for comparison and because some future reactors may actually start with U^{233} in the core. The effect of U^{237} will not be considered because it is expected to be destroyed predominantly by beta decay and its cross-sections are not known. Its concentrations and possible effects have been considered in a previous paper⁽¹⁾

For Case I the concentration of U^{233} is considered constant. Actually its concentration will increase somewhat as various pile poisons (e.g. fission products, U^{234} etc.) grow in and its concentration may then decrease somewhat in the core as it increases in the blanket. If the core and blanket are processed continuously, however, these effects will reach a steady state value rather soon, if they are processed batchwise, then the time average value will be constant from period to period. The effect of the heavy isotope build-up itself on required U^{233} concentration changes is small as will be seen from the small effect of this build-up on neutron economy.

The values of the various cross-sections used here are given in Table 1.

Table 1.

<u>Thermal Cross-Sections and Eta Values</u>		
<u>Nuclide</u>	<u>σ_c</u>	<u>σ_a</u>
U^{233}	50	564
U^{234}	90	90
U^{235}	106	682
U^{236}	8	8

$$\eta_{25} = 2.12$$

$$\eta_{23} = 2.30$$

Case I - Pure U²³³ In Core at Start, Pure U²³³ Added.

The differential equations for the three changing species are

$$\frac{dN_{24}}{dt} = N_{23}^f \sigma_c(23) - N_{24}^f \sigma_c(24) \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dN_{25}}{dt} = N_{24}^f \sigma_c(24) - N_{25}^f \sigma_a(25) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dN_{26}}{dt} = N_{25}^f \sigma_c(25) - N_{26}^f \sigma_c(26) \quad (3)$$

Here the N's indicate concentrations, σ_c and σ_a indicate cross-sections for neutron capture and absorption (i.e. capture plus fission) respectively, and the two-figure index numbers indicate the last figure of the atomic number and last figure of the atomic mass respectively for the nuclide in question. The relative concentrations of the heavy isotopes at equilibrium are obtained by equating the differential equations to zero, thus

$$\frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} = \frac{\sigma_c(23)}{\sigma_c(24)} = \frac{50}{90} = 0.556$$

$$\frac{N_{25}}{N_{23}} = \frac{\sigma_c(23)}{\sigma_a(25)} = \frac{50}{682} = 0.073$$

$$\frac{N_{26}}{N_{23}} = \frac{\sigma_c(25) \sigma_c(23)}{\sigma_c(26) \sigma_a(25)} = \frac{106 \times 50}{8 \times 682} = 0.971$$

1.600

Adding unity for the U²³³ itself, the ratio of total uranium to U²³³ at equilibrium becomes 2.60.

Integrating Equations (1), (2) and (3) the time dependent isotopic ratios become

$$\frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} = a_4 (1 - e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft}) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{where } a_4 = \frac{\sigma_c(23)}{\sigma_c(24)} = 0.555\ 555\ 555\ 6$$

$$\frac{N_{25}}{N_{23}} = a_5 + b_5 e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} + c_5 e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} \quad (5)$$

$$\text{where } a_5 = \frac{\sigma_c(23)}{\sigma_a(25)} = 0.073\ 313\ 782\ 99$$

$$b_5 = \frac{-\sigma_c(23)}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24)} = -0.084\ 459\ 459\ 46$$

$$c_5 = \frac{\sigma_c(23) \sigma_c(24)}{\sigma_a(25) [\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24)]} = 0.011\ 145\ 676\ 46$$

$$\frac{N_{26}}{N_{23}} = a_6 + b_6 e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} + c_6 e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} + d_6 e^{-\sigma_c(26)ft} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{where } a_6 = \frac{a_5 \sigma_c(25)}{\sigma_c(26)} = 0.971\ 407\ 624\ 6$$

$$b_6 = \frac{-b_5 \sigma_c(25)}{\sigma_c(24) - \sigma_c(26)} = 0.109\ 179\ 301\ 2$$

$$c_6 = \frac{-c_5 \sigma_c(25)}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(26)} = -0.001\ 752\ 880\ 868$$

$$d_6 = -(a_6 + b_6 + c_6) = -1.078\ 834\ 044\ 9$$

The net neutron loss per fuel atom destroyed in the core is then

$$L(23)_0 = \frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} \frac{\sigma_c(24)}{\sigma_a(23)} + (1 - \eta_{25}) \frac{N_{25}}{N_{23}} \frac{\sigma_a(25)}{\sigma_a(23)} + \frac{N_{26}}{N_{23}} \frac{\sigma_c(26)}{\sigma_a(23)} \quad (7)$$

The net loss per net neutron reproduced in the core is

$$L(23)_0 / (\eta_{23} - 1),$$

where η_{23} is the neutrons produced per neutron absorbed by U^{233} . The subscript zero indicates no U^{234} in the U^{233} added to the core.

Case II Pure U^{233} In Core at Start, U^{233} Containing 5% U^{234} Added.

The contribution to each isotope (U^{234} , U^{235} and U^{236}) is divided into two

parts (1) that resulting from the U^{233} originally present and the U^{233} added to the core, N_1^i , and (2) that resulting from the U^{234} added with the U^{233} , the $N_1^{\prime\prime}$ contribution. The first part N_1^i in each case is just that calculated in Case I. The second part in each case $N_1^{\prime\prime}$ is proportional to N_1^i . This can easily be seen as follows.

Remembering that U^{233} (from the blanket) is added at the same rate that it is destroyed in the core

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_{24}^{\prime\prime}}{dt} &= \text{production} - \text{destruction} \\ &= rN_{23}f\sigma_a(23) - N_{24}^{\prime\prime}f\sigma_c(24). \end{aligned}$$

where $r = N_{24}/N_{23}$ ratio in the blanket product, this product is added to the core as needed.

Thus this equation is similar to Equation (1) except that $\sigma_c(23)$ in Equation (1) is here replaced by $r\sigma_a(23)$. The solution then is

$$\frac{N_{24}^{\prime\prime}}{N_{23}} = \frac{r\sigma_a(23)}{\sigma_c(24)} (1 - e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft}) = \frac{r\sigma_a(23)}{\sigma_c(23)} \frac{N_{24}^i}{N_{23}}$$

The total U^{234} is given by

$$\frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} = \frac{N_{24}^i}{N_{23}} + \frac{N_{24}^{\prime\prime}}{N_{23}} = \left[1 + \frac{r\sigma_a(23)}{\sigma_c(23)} \right] \frac{N_{24}^i}{N_{23}} \quad (8)$$

where N_{24}^i/N_{23} in Case II is equal to N_{24}/N_{23} calculated in Case I.

Similarly the total of each of the other isotopes is given by

$$\frac{N_{25}}{N_{23}} = \left[1 + \frac{r\sigma_a(23)}{\sigma_c(23)} \right] \frac{N_{25}^i}{N_{23}} \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{N_{26}}{N_{23}} = \left[1 + \frac{r\sigma_a(23)}{\sigma_c(23)} \right] \frac{N_{26}^i}{N_{23}} \quad (10)$$

where the primed N_{24}^i , N_{25}^i and N_{26}^i here are equal respectively to N_{24} , N_{25} and N_{26} in Equations (4), (5) and (6).

The net loss per net neutron reproduced in the core becomes

$$\frac{L(23)_r}{\eta_{23}-1} = \left[1 + \frac{r\sigma_a(23)}{\sigma_c(23)} \right] \frac{L(23)_o}{\eta_{23}-1}, \quad (11)$$

where $L(23)_o$ is given by Equation (7). Thus each isotopic ratio and the net loss in Case II is equal to $\left[1 + r\sigma_a(23)/\sigma_c(23) \right]$ times the same quantity for Case I. The value taken for r is 0.05 (i.e. 5%).

Case III U²³³ Containing 5% U²³⁴ In Core At Start And Added To Core.

This case will be the same as Case II except for the added contribution to the U²³⁴, U²³⁵ and U²³⁶ resulting from the U²³⁴ originally present in the core. This contribution is calculated for each isotope and added to the results in Case II.

Letting N_{24}^o = original concentration of U²³⁴

N_{24}^* = concentration of this U²³⁴ left at any time,

$$\frac{N_{24}^*}{N_{23}} = \frac{N_{24}^o}{N_{23}} e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} = 0.05 e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft}$$

Using this equation and solving equations like (2) and (3), the U²³⁵ and U²³⁶ contributions, N_{25}^* and N_{26}^* are obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_{25}^*}{N_{23}} &= \frac{\sigma_c(24)}{(\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24))} \frac{N_{24}^o}{N_{23}} (e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} - e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft}) \\ &= 0.00760135 (e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} - e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_{26}^*}{N_{23}} &= \frac{\sigma_c(24)\sigma_c(25)}{(\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24))} \frac{N_{24}^o}{N_{23}} \left[\frac{e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft}}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(26)} - \frac{e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft}}{\sigma_c(24) - \sigma_c(26)} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_c(24) - \sigma_c(26)} - \frac{1}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(26)} \right) e^{-\sigma_c(26)ft} \right] \\ &= 0.0239093 e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} - 0.196523 e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} + 0.172613 e^{-\sigma_c(26)ft}. \end{aligned}$$

The total concentrations of each isotope then become respectively,

$$\frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} \text{ (Eq. (8))} + \frac{N_{24}^*}{N_{23}}$$

$$\frac{N_{25}}{N_{23}} \text{ (Eq. (9))} + \frac{N_{25}^*}{N_{23}}$$

$$\frac{N_{26}}{N_{23}} \text{ (Eq. (10))} + \frac{N_{26}^*}{N_{23}}$$

The contribution to the loss term due to the N_i^* is

$$\frac{L^*(23)}{\eta_{23} - 1} = \frac{1}{\eta_{23} - 1} \left[\frac{N_{24}^*}{N_{23}} \frac{\sigma_c(24)}{\sigma_a(23)} + (1 - \eta_{25}) \frac{N_{25}^*}{N_{23}} \frac{\sigma_a(25)}{\sigma_a(23)} + \frac{N_{26}^*}{N_{23}} \frac{\sigma_c(26)}{\sigma_a(23)} \right],$$

and the total loss per net neutron reproduced in the core becomes

$$\frac{L(23)_r}{\eta_{23} - 1} \text{ (Eq. (11))} + \frac{L^*(23)}{\eta_{23} - 1}$$

Case IV Pure U^{235} In Core At Start, Pure U^{233} Added.

In this case none of the four isotopes has a constant concentration. As the original U^{235} is consumed U^{233} is added and a relation must be assumed between these two species. The assumption made here is that U^{233} is added at such a rate that the net neutrons reproduced in the core fuel is kept constant,

i.e.

$$(\eta_{25} - 1)N_{25}^0 \sigma_a(25) = (\eta_{25} - 1)N_{25}^{'''} \sigma_a(25) + (\eta_{23} - 1)N_{23}^i \sigma_a(23)$$

$$\text{or } 1 = \frac{N_{25}^{'''}}{N_{25}^0} + \frac{(\eta_{23} - 1) N_{23}^i \sigma_a(23)}{(\eta_{25} - 1) N_{25}^0 \sigma_a(25)} \equiv \frac{N_{25}^{'''}}{N_{25}^0} + \frac{N_{23}^i}{kN_{25}^0}, \quad (12)$$

$$\text{where } k = \frac{\sigma_a(25) (\eta_{25} - 1)}{\sigma_a(23) (\eta_{23} - 1)}.$$

Here the triple prime indicates any isotope resulting from the original U^{235} , the single prime indicates any isotope growing from the added U^{233} , and N_{25}^0 indicates the original U^{235} concentration. The restriction between N_{25}^0 , $N_{25}^{'''}$ and N_{23}^i

could just as well have been made on a neutron reproduction basis (i.e. keeping $N_{25}^{n'} \eta_{25} \sigma_a(25) + N_{23}^i \eta_{23} \sigma_a(23)$ constant). Using such a different basis would not have significantly altered the net losses due to the heavy isotope build-up as calculated here except for the different factor in the denominator depending on the different basis.

The fraction of the original amount of U^{235} remaining at any time is given by the expression

$$\frac{N_{25}^{n'}}{N_{25}^0} = e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} \quad (13)$$

Using Equation (13) and the differential equation

$$\frac{dN_{26}^{n'}}{dt} = N_{25}^{n'} f \sigma_c(25) - N_{26}^{n'} f \sigma_c(26)$$

the expression for the U^{236} resulting from the original U^{235} becomes

$$\frac{N_{26}^{n'}}{N_{25}^0} = \frac{\sigma_c(25)}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(26)} \left[e^{-\sigma_c(26)ft} - e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} \right] \quad (14)$$

The amounts of the various isotopes N_{23}^i , N_{24}^i , N_{25}^i and N_{26}^i resulting from the U^{233} added will now be considered. Combining Equations (12) and (13)

$$\frac{N_{23}^i}{N_{25}^0} = k(1 - e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft}) \quad (15)$$

where $k = \frac{(\eta_{25} - 1) \sigma_a(25)}{(\eta_{23} - 1) \sigma_a(23)} = 1.04178941$

The differential equations for the other isotopes are the same as Equations (1), (2) and (3) with each concentration term being primed. Using these and Equation (15) and integrating

$$\frac{N_{24}^i}{N_{25}^0} = a_4^i \left[1 + b_4^i e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} + c_4^i e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} \right] \quad (16)$$

where $a_4^i = k \frac{\sigma_c(23)}{\sigma_c(24)} = 0.5787718945$

$$b_4^i = - \frac{\sigma_a(25)}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24)} = - 1.152\ 027\ 027$$

$$c_4^i = \frac{\sigma_c(24)}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24)} = 0.152\ 027\ 027$$

$$\frac{N_{25}^i}{N_{25}^0} = a_5^i \left[1 + b_5^i e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} + c_5^i e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} + d_5^i fte^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} \right] \quad (17)$$

where $a_5^i = k \frac{\sigma_c(23)}{\sigma_a(25)} = 0.076\ 377\ 522\ 63$

$$b_5^i = - \frac{(\sigma_a(25))^2}{(\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24))^2} = - 1.327\ 166\ 271$$

$$c_5^i = \left[\frac{(\sigma_a(25))^2}{(\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24))^2} - 1 \right] = 0.327\ 166\ 271$$

$$d_5^i = \frac{\sigma_c(24)\sigma_a(25)}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(24)} = 103.682\ 432\ 4 \text{ barns}$$

$$\frac{N_{26}^i}{N_{25}^0} = a_6^i \left[a_6^i + b_6^i e^{-\sigma_c(24)ft} + c_6^i e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} + d_6^i fte^{-\sigma_a(25)ft} + g_6^i e^{-\sigma_c(26)ft} \right] \quad (18)$$

where a_5^i is given above

$$a_6^i = \frac{\sigma_c(25)}{\sigma_c(26)} = 13.250\ 000\ 000$$

$$b_6^i = \frac{-b_5^i \sigma_c(25)}{\sigma_c(24) - \sigma_c(26)} = 1.715\ 605\ 178$$

$$c_6^i = \frac{-c_5^i \sigma_c(25)}{\sigma_c(25) - \sigma_c(26)} - \frac{d_5^i \sigma_c(25)}{(\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(26))^2} = - 0.075\ 646\ 534\ 0$$

$$d_6^i = \frac{-d_5^i \sigma_c(25)}{\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_c(26)} = - 16.306\ 139\ 212\ 6 \text{ barns}$$

$$g_6^i = - (a_6^i + b_6^i + c_6^i) = - 14.889\ 958\ 644\ 00$$

The total U^{233} and U^{234} are given by Equations (15) and (16), respectively.

The total U^{235} is given by the sum of Equations (13) and (17), i.e.,

$$\frac{N_{25}}{N_{25}^0} = \frac{N_{25}^{''}}{N_{25}^0} + \frac{N_{25}^{'}}{N_{25}^0},$$

and the total U^{236} is given by the sum of Equations (14) and (18).

$$\frac{N_{26}}{N_{25}^0} = \frac{N_{26}^{''}}{N_{25}^0} + \frac{N_{26}^{'}}{N_{25}^0}.$$

The loss then per net neutron reproduced in the core fuel in Case IV is

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{L(25)_0}{\eta_{25} - 1} &= \left[\frac{N_{24}^{'}}{N_{25}^0} \frac{\sigma_c(24)}{\sigma_a(25)} \frac{1}{(\eta_{25} - 1)} - \frac{N_{25}^{'}}{N_{25}^0} + \frac{N_{26}^{'}}{N_{25}^0} \frac{\sigma_c(26)}{\sigma_a(25)} \frac{1}{\eta_{25} - 1} \right] + \frac{N_{26}^{''}}{N_{25}^0} \frac{\sigma_c(26)}{\sigma_a(25)} \frac{1}{(\eta_{25} - 1)} \\ &= \frac{L^{'}(25)_0}{\eta_{25} - 1} + \frac{L^{''}(25)_0}{\eta_{25} - 1} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Here the single prime indicates contribution from neutron reactions on the U^{233} added, the subscript zero indicates $r = 0$, i.e. that pure U^{233} is added to the core. The reason for dividing these losses into two components will become apparent in the discussion of Case V.

Case V U^{235} In Core At Start, U^{233} Containing 5% U^{234} Added.

Here there are three contributions to some of the isotopes (1) that resulting from the original U^{235} , the $N_1^{''}$ part, (2) that resulting from neutron reactions on the U^{233} added, the $N_1^{'}$ part, and (3) that resulting from the U^{234} added with the U^{233} , the $N_1^{''}$ part. The contributions (1) and (2) have already been evaluated in Case IV. Item (3) will now be considered and then added to the other two. For this contribution it is necessary to know the rate of addition of U^{233} and not just its concentration which is fixed by Equation (12). Using Equation (13) and differentiating Equation (12) gives the net rate of

change of U^{233}

$$\frac{dN_{23}^i}{dt} = -k \frac{dN_{25}^{ii}}{dt} = kN_{25}^{ii} f \sigma_a(25).$$

But U^{233} is destroyed at the rate of $N_{23}^i f \sigma_a(23)$. Hence the total rate of addition of U^{233} is

$$kN_{25}^{ii} f \sigma_a(25) + N_{23}^i f \sigma_a(23),$$

since the net rate of change of U^{233} = rate of addition - rate of destruction.

The rate of addition of U^{234} is r times the rate of addition of U^{233} (where r is the U^{234}/U^{233} ratio in the blanket product). Using Equation (12) with the above expression for the total rate of adding U^{233} the rate of addition of U^{234} becomes

$$rkN_{25}^o f \sigma_a(25) - rN_{23}^i f (\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_a(23))$$

and the net rate of change of this contribution to the total U^{234} becomes

$$\frac{dN_{24}^{ii}}{dt} = r \left[kN_{25}^o f \sigma_a(25) - N_{23}^i f (\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_a(23)) \right] - N_{24}^{ii} f \sigma_c(24) \quad (20)$$

The first term in the brackets is a constant and the second one varies as N_{23}^i .

As will be seen, the calculations may be somewhat simplified if Equation (20) is broken up into two equations, one involving the constant production term and the other a negative variable "production" term. Let

$$N_{24}^{ii} = (N_{24}^{ii})_C + (N_{24}^{ii})_V,$$

where the subscripts C and V indicate constant and variable terms, respectively.

Then

$$\frac{d(N_{24}^{ii})_C}{dt} = rkN_{25}^o f \sigma_a(25) - (N_{24}^{ii})_C f \sigma_c(24) \quad (20a)$$

$$\frac{d(N_{24}^{ii})_V}{dt} = -rN_{23}^i f (\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_a(23)) - (N_{24}^{ii})_V f \sigma_c(24) \quad (20b)$$

Equation (20a) is similar to Equation (1) in Case I where N_{23} is held constant,

Equation (20b) is similar to Equation (1) with N_{23} being given by Equation (15). Equations similar to (2) and (3) can be written for $(N_{25}^{\prime\prime})_C$, $(N_{25}^{\prime\prime})_V$, $(N_{26}^{\prime\prime})_C$ and $(N_{26}^{\prime\prime})_V$. The total solution for $N_{24}^{\prime\prime}$ will then be a superposition of the solution given by Equation (4) and that given by Equation (16), that for $N_{25}^{\prime\prime}$, a superposition of the solutions given by Equations (5) and (17), that for $N_{26}^{\prime\prime}$, a superposition of the solutions given by Equations (6) and (18). The contribution to the loss term of the effects of $N_{24}^{\prime\prime}$, $N_{25}^{\prime\prime}$ and $N_{26}^{\prime\prime}$ will likewise be a superposition of $L(23)$ given by Equation (7) and the $L'(25)$ part of Equation (19). Since the solution to the differential equation

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = K_1(1 - e^{-at}) - K_2N, \text{ where } K_1, K_2 \text{ and } a \text{ are arbitrary constants,}$$

$$\text{is } N = \frac{K_1}{K_2} \left(1 + \frac{ae^{-K_2t}}{K_2 - a} - \frac{K_2e^{-at}}{K_2 - a} \right),$$

it is clear that the solution of the differential equation differing only from the above by a multiplicative constant in K_1 will differ in its solution from the above by this same multiplicative constant in K_1 . The coefficients of the superposed solutions are simply the ratios of the coefficients to N_1 and $N_1(1 - e^{-\sigma_a(25)ft})$ in the "production" terms in Equations (20a) and (20b) to those in Equation (1) with N_{23} being constant and with N_{23} being given by Equation (15), respectively. Thus

$$\frac{(N_{24}^{\prime\prime})_C}{N_{25}^{\circ}} = A \left[\frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} \text{ (Eq. (4))} \right] \quad \frac{(N_{24}^{\prime\prime})_V}{N_{25}^{\circ}} = B \left[\frac{N_{24}^{\prime}}{N_{25}^{\circ}} \text{ (Eq. (16))} \right] \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{(N_{25}^{\prime\prime})_C}{N_{25}^{\circ}} = A \left[\frac{N_{25}}{N_{23}} \text{ (Eq. (5))} \right] \quad \frac{(N_{25}^{\prime\prime})_V}{N_{25}^{\circ}} = B \left[\frac{N_{25}^{\prime}}{N_{25}^{\circ}} \text{ (Eq. (17))} \right] \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{(N_{26}^{\prime\prime})_C}{N_{25}^{\circ}} = A \left[\frac{N_{26}}{N_{23}} \text{ (Eq. (6))} \right] \quad \frac{(N_{26}^{\prime\prime})_V}{N_{25}^{\circ}} = B \left[\frac{N_{26}^{\prime}}{N_{25}^{\circ}} \text{ (Eq. (18))} \right] \quad (23)$$

where $A \equiv \frac{rk\sigma_a(25)}{\sigma_c(23)} = 0.710500$, where $B \equiv \frac{-r(\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_a(23))}{\sigma_c(23)} = -0.118000$

And for each of the three isotopes

$$\frac{N_i^n}{N_{25}^0} = \frac{(N_1^n)_C}{N_{25}^0} + \frac{(N_1^n)_V}{N_{25}^0} \quad (24)$$

The total concentration of each isotope is then obtained by adding all the various contributions. The total U^{233} is given by Equation (15). The total concentrations of the other isotopes are

$$\frac{N_{24}}{N_{25}^0} = \left[\frac{N_{24}^i}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Eq. (16))} \right] + \left[\frac{N_{24}^n}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Eq.'s (21) and (24))} \right] \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{N_{25}}{N_{25}^0} = \left[\frac{N_{25}^{n1}}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Eq. (13))} \right] + \left[\frac{N_{25}^i}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Eq. (17))} \right] + \left[\frac{N_{25}^n}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Eq.'s (22) and (24))} \right] \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{N_{26}}{N_{25}^0} = \left[\frac{N_{26}^{n1}}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Eq. (14))} \right] + \left[\frac{N_{26}^i}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Eq. (18))} \right] + \left[\frac{N_{26}^n}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Eq.'s (23) and (24))} \right] \quad (27)$$

The total neutron loss per net neutron reproduced in the core fuel is

$$\frac{L(25)_r}{\eta_{25} - 1} = \left[\frac{L(25)_o}{\eta_{25} - 1} \text{ (Eq. (19))} \right] + \frac{A}{k} \left[\frac{L(23)_o}{\eta_{23} - 1} \text{ Eq. (7)} \right] + B \left[\frac{L_1(25)_o}{\eta_{25} - 1} \text{ (See Eq. (19))} \right]$$

$$\text{where } \frac{A}{k} = \frac{r \sigma_a(25)}{\sigma_c(23)} = 0.682000 \quad \text{and } B = \frac{-r(\sigma_a(25) - \sigma_a(23))}{\sigma_c(23)} = 0.11800$$

It should be noted that the losses calculated on the basis of N_{25}^0 , $\sigma_a(25)$ and $(\eta_{25} - 1)$ are equivalent to those calculated on the basis of N_{23}^∞ , $\sigma_a(23)$ and $(\eta_{23} - 1)$ since

$$N_{25}^0 \sigma_a(25) (\eta_{25} - 1) = N_{23}^\infty \sigma_a(23) (\eta_{23} - 1)$$

or $N_{23}^\infty = k N_{25}^0$

Obviously the constant, N_{23} , in the Case I, II, and III are equal to N_{23}^∞ in the Cases of IV and V.

Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 show the total relative concentrations of each isotope and the total losses in each of the five cases considered. From the

way the calculations were made the following conditions concerning the total amount of each isotope present and the losses should hold after final equilibrium is reached

$$(1) \frac{kN_1}{N_{23}} \text{ (Case I)} = \frac{N_1}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Case IV)}$$

$$(2) \frac{L(23)_0}{\eta_{23}-1} \text{ (Case I)} = \frac{L(25)_0}{\eta_{25}-1} \text{ (Case IV)}$$

$$(3) \frac{kN_1}{N_{23}} \text{ (Case II)} = \frac{N_1}{N_{25}^0} \text{ (Case V)} = \frac{kN_1}{N_{23}} \text{ (Case III)}$$

$$(4) \frac{L(23)_r}{\eta_{23}-1} \text{ (Case II)} = \frac{L(25)_r}{\eta_{25}-1} \text{ (Case V)} = \frac{L(23)_r}{\eta_{23}-1} \text{ (Case III)}$$

From the figures these conditions are seen to be satisfied.

A summary of the maximum losses (all at about $ft = 3 \times 10^{21}$), the minimum losses (all at about $ft = 4 \times 10^{22}$) and the equilibrium losses (attained at about $ft = 6 \times 10^{23}$) are presented in Table 2. In the last column of Table 2 are presented the ratios of the total uranium to U^{233} at equilibrium, these values are 2.60 if pure U^{233} is added to the core as fuel is burned and 3.50 if U^{233} containing 5% U^{234} is added. Since these two values probably represent the two extremes (within the accuracy of the cross-sections and η values used) the most likely value may be about 3.0. Depending on the concentration ranges being considered, this increase in total uranium could cause increased corrosion rates or solubility troubles. In aqueous homogeneous U^{233} breeder reactors the uranium concentration ranges anticipated (a few grams per liter) are low enough so that a three-fold increase probably will not cause any appreciable harm.


The losses presented in Fig. 4 are instantaneous values at any given ft .

The integrated average losses up to any ft value in question are presented in Fig. 5 for Cases I and III, as mentioned previously these two cases probably represent extremes between which any practical case will lie. The integrated losses are negative (showing a small net neutron gain), between ft values of about 2×10^{22} and 5×10^{23} . For a reactor with a core flux of about 1.5×10^{15} and with a total fuel hold-up (in core, heat exchanger, chemical processing, etc.) of 4 or 5 times that in the core, an ft of 10^{22} corresponds to about one year's operation. Hence for such a reactor the integrated net effect of the heavy isotope build-up is to cause a small neutron gain from about the second to the fiftieth year of operation.

Table 2

Summary of Losses and Increase In Total Uranium Concentration.


Case	In Core At Start	Added to Core	Max. Loss		Min. Loss		Eq. Loss		Total U U ²³³
			Value in %	At ft	Value in %	ft	Value in %	ft above	Eq. Value
I	pure U ²³³	pure U ²³³	0.55	3 x 10 ²¹	-.56	4 x 10 ²²	0.25	6 x 10 ²³	2.60
II	pure U ²³³	(U ²³⁴ /U ²³³) = 0.05	.87	3 x 10 ²¹	-.86	4 x 10 ²²	.38	6 x 10 ²³	3.50
III	(U ²³⁴ /U ²³³) = 0.05	(U ²³⁴ /U ²³³) = 0.05	.90	2 x 10 ²¹	-.76	3 x 10 ²²	.38	6 x 10 ²³	3.50
IV	U ²³⁵	pure U ²³³	.63	4 x 10 ²¹	-.45	4 x 10 ²²	.25	6 x 10 ²³	2.60
V	U ²³⁵	(U ²³⁴ /U ²³³) = 0.05	.89	4 x 10 ²¹	-.76	4 x 10 ²²	.38	6 x 10 ²³	3.50



URANIUM ISOTOPE BUILDUP IN CORE OF REACTOR STARTING WITH PURE U^{233} (i.e. $\frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} = 0$) AND REPLENISHING FUEL WITH PURE U^{233} AND WITH U^{233} CONTAINING 5% U^{234}


FIGURE 1

DWG 19891




URANIUM ISOTOPE BUILDUP IN CORE OF REACTOR STARTING WITH PURE U^{233} (ie $\frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} = 0$) AND REPLENISHING SPENT FUEL WITH PURE U^{233} AND STARTING WITH U^{233} CONTAINING 5% U^{234} AND REPLENISHING THE SPENT FUEL WITH URANIUM OF THE SAME COMPOSITION

FIGURE 2



URANIUM ISOTOPE BUILDUP IN CORE OF REACTOR STARTING WITH U^{235} AND REPLENISHING FUEL WITH PURE U^{233} (ie $\frac{N_{24}}{N_{23}} = 0$) AND WITH U^{233} CONTAINING 5% U^{234}

FIGURE 3



LOSSES PER NET NEUTRON REPRODUCED IN CORE DUE TO GROWTH OF URANIUM ISOTOPES

FIGURE 4




FIGURE 5
INTEGRATED LOSSES AS A FUNCTION OF FLUX-TIME

Acknowledgement

The authors happily acknowledge the helpful advice and criticism of
R A Charpie during the preparation of this report.